Evaluation

Unix Philosophy

From Beginning Linux Programming, 4th Ed, Matthew & Stones, Wrox/Wiley Pub.

☐ Simplicity

Many of the most useful UNIX utilities are very simple, and, as a result, small and easy to understand. *KISS* is a good technique to learn. Larger, more complex systems are guaranteed to contain larger, more complex bugs, and debugging is to be avoided.

□ Focus

Often it is better to make a program perform one task well, rather than to throw in every feature, along with the kitchen sink. A program with "feature bloat" can be difficult to use and difficult to maintain. Ones with a single purpose are easier to improve, as better algorithms or interfaces are developed. Small utilities can often be combined to perform demanding tasks when needed, rather than trying to anticipate needs in larger programs.

☐ Reusable Components

Make the core of you application available as a library. Well-documented libraries with simple but flexible programming interfaces can help others to develop variations, or apply the techniques to new application areas.

□ Filters

Many UNIX applications can be used as filters. They transform their input and produce output. Quite complex applications can be developed by combining UNIX programs in novel ways.

☐ Open File Formats

The more successful and popular UNIX programs use configuration files and data files that are plain ASCII text or XML. These enable users to use standard tools to change, search for configuration items, and develop new tools to perform new functions on data files.

☐ Flexibility

We can't anticipate exactly how ingeniously users will use programs. Programs should be flexible and avoid arbitrary limits on field sizes or numbers of records. Programs should be made network-aware and able to run across a network as well as locally. We should never assume we know everything that the user might want to do.

KISS

Keep It Simple and Small

Usability

WHY

□ **Need** ~ □ Requirement □ Use Case □ **Clear Description**

HELP

☐ Community ☐ Goals ☐ Policy
☐ Commercial Support
☐ Documentation ☐ FAQs ☐ README
☐ man pages ☐ info pages ☐ other

RELEASE MODEL

□ Funded □ Unfunded

Cost

☐ Time ☐ Money

Release Schedule

□ Point □ Rolling

HOW TO USE

- ☐ Installation
- $\ \square \ Configuration$
- $\ \square \ Demonstration$

INTERFACE

- \Box Command Line Interface (CLI)
- \square Graphical User Interface (GUI)

Desktop Environment (DTE)

- \square Gnome \square KDE \square MATE \square Other
- ☐ EULA ☐ TLDR
 ☐ GPL2 ☐ GPL3 ☐ Other License

TOOLS

☐ Metrics ☐ Performance

Functionality

Key Performance Indicators

(Expectation of Features)

- ☐ Usability
- □ Capability
- ☐ Performance
- ☐ Manageability
 - ☐ Scalability
 - ☐ Security

Evaluation Short List

☐ Comparable Alternatives (Compare top alternatives)

Plus/Minus

Compare Significant Features

Evaluation Cross Platform Portability Security Authentication \square bsd \square linux \square mac \square ms win \square UNIX **Encryption** CROSS DISTRIBUTION AVAILABILITY *Repositories* $\sim \square$ Bin \square Source **DESIGN ISSUES** Packages $\sim \square$ DEB \square RPM \square TAR **Privacy** □ PPA □ Romeo □ Other □ BUGS ☐ Flatpack ☐ Snap **Vulnerabilities** Does product have cost, or are you the product? □ TCP □ UDP □ other: Port # ☐ Zero Day ☐ Responsible Disclosure ☐ Severity Level **Compatibility** Three measures of compatibly: **Anonymity** ☐ Mitigation ☐ Risks ☐ Benefits ☐ Effectiveness □ Portability software can run on different systems. **SOURCE CODE** ☐ Scalability □ Closed □ Open – software to run on computers of differing levels of □ Audited □ Obscurity performance. ☐ Interoprability **MAINTENANCE** – computer systems can communicate with each other. □ New □ Mature What could possibly go wrong? Dependability □ Active □ Abandoned □ Roadmap What We Don't Know! Rate of repair Team size ? Consequences? ? Unknown Consequences? ☐ Trust No One (TNO) Sustainability ? Responsibility? Confidentiality ? Transparency? **Integrity** ? Ethics? Accessibility

Suggest considering Bloom's Taxonomy.